



Borrego Maneuver Area DERP—FUDS No. J09CA7011 Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study (RI/FS)



Technical Planning Process (TPP) Meeting 1 January 18, 2005

Larry Sievers

Formerly Used Defense Site Program
Manager

(213) 452-3990

larry.a.sievers@usace.army.mil



Los Angeles District







Why the Borrego Maneuver Area? Why Now?

Project Sites

- J09CA701101 Naval/Mechanized Artillery Area
- J09CA701102 Camp Ensign
- J09CA701103 Navy's Clark Lake
- J09CA701104 Target Area & Emergency Landing Field
- J09CA701105 Military Wash Areas E-1 & E-2
- J09CA701106 Benson/Ocotillo Dry Lake Practice Bomb Target
 - Potential Additions:
 - Winona 1 J09CA0167
 - Winona 2 J09CA0168
 - Rocketville and Bombville



- 1.) BMA is a priority site
- 2.) Remove risk from a potential munitions encounter
- 3.) Remove acreage from the cost liability profile



Los Angeles District






Agenda



- Introductions
- Purpose and Objectives
- Schedule of TPP and RI/FS
- Formerly Used Defense Sites:
 - Program Implementation
 - Los Angeles District FUDS
 - MMRP phases
- Technical Project Planning
- Agency and Stakeholder Comments



Los Angeles District






Today's Purpose and Objectives

Purpose is to Provide:

- Introduction to US Army Corps of Engineers Formerly Used Defense Site Military Munitions Response Program
- History/Problem Definition of the Borrego Maneuver Area
- Make Progress in the US Army Technical Planning Process

Objectives for Stakeholders is Understanding of:

- The project planning efforts associated with the Borrego Maneuver Area Remedial Investigation / Feasibility Study
- The Implementation of the US Army Technical Planning Process
- The Schedule
- Roles and Responsibility



Los Angeles District






Stakeholders In the MMRP RI/FS TPP

"This is your Park, Property, Constituents & Our Challenge"


We want to know:

- Where you know about munition encounters - "Rocketville", "Bombville"
- Your concerns and requirements; environmental, cultural, liability
- Limitations to investigation and cleanup of potential UXO
- Your Goals
- How you can help us - Collection of your existing bio/cultural info, GIS data
- How we can help you - Presentations to your stakeholders (Off-road / Conservation Groups)?



Los Angeles District





Mission: Serve the Public and meet Govt. customer needs


226,000 square miles

420 miles of shoreline

10% of the US population, growing

Regulatory/Construction/Flood Control

/Navigation/Recreation/Environmental



Los Angeles District





Borrego Maneuver Area TPP and RI/FS Schedule

TPP Meeting 1 January 18, 2006

TPP Meeting 2 February 15, 2006

-Comments on Conceptual Site Model

TPP Meeting 3 May 24, 2006 – Public Notice & News Release


😊 -Brief Draft RI/FS Work Plan, 45 day review starts

-May 25, 2006 Public Availability Session – Poster Session introduce draft work plan

Final RI/FS Work Plan October 31, 2006

TPP Meeting 4 November 1, 2006

-Prioritize Field Starts




Los Angeles District





Formerly Used Defense Site (FUDS) Primer

Why	Congress 1986 ‘ <i>correction, detection and disposal of unexploded ordnance which creates an imminent and substantial endangerment...</i> ’
Where	‘ <i>the Secretary shall carry out all response actions under <u>CERCLA</u> at properties <u>owned by, leased or otherwise possessed by the United States</u>” – <u>USACE</u> assigned</i>
Who	USACE has almost 10,000 FUDS identified nationwide, over 1,300 in the Los Angeles District, funding limited
How	Military Munitions Response Program (MMRP) and Hazardous Toxic Radioactive Waste (HTRW) use the DoD investigation/cleanup methods based on Env. Protection Agency (EPA) CERCLA process



Los Angeles District






National Status of FUDS Properties and Projects

Metric	Oct 04	Sep 05
Total Properties in Inventory	9,730	9,847
Total Properties Eligible for FUDS Program	6,789	6,909
Properties Requiring Response Actions (30%)	2,948	2,965
Total Projects In Inventory	4,871	5,018
Cost to Complete	\$18.1 Billion	\$18.6 Billion

PROPERTY is the entire property formerly owned/used by DoD and is generally analogous to “site”

PROJECT is the individual area of potential hazard, differentiated by *type of hazard* (HTRW, OE, BD/DR) and is generally analogous to “operable unit”





Los Angeles District





FUDS Properties by State

data from 2000



Los Angeles District





Los Angeles District by the Numbers



Formerly Used Defense Sites

Total Inventory 1,283, minus duplicates

Total Sites 1,043

By State:	AZ	262
	CA	763
	NV	18

Total Number of Projects

503 (511 in FY05)


MMRP by Risk Assessment Code (RAC):

RAC 1:	18
RAC 2:	33
RAC 3:	54
RAC 4:	102
RAC 5:	150

Project Category	#	Los Angeles District Cost to Complete	% of Nation's CTC
Partially Responsible Party	24	-----	-----
Preliminary Assessment/INPR	NA	\$1,300,000	0.04%
Building Demolition/Debris Removal	16	\$2,400,000	0.07%
Containerized HTRW	84	\$3,100,000	0.10%
Chemical Warfare Material	4	\$91,500,000	2.81%
Hazardous Toxic Radioactive Waste	27	\$105,800,000	3.35%
Military Munitions Response Program	348	\$3,052,500,000	27%
TOTALS	503	\$3,256,600,000	18%





Old Metric now using:
Munitions Response Site Prioritization Protocol w/
A - F ratings



Los Angeles District



Your Neighborhood FUDS Properties




Los Angeles District





San Diego County FUDS Property and Projects

<u>TOTAL SITE</u>	=	146
– Eligible SITE	=	76
Sites w/projects	=	25(17%)
• NDAI	=	51 (35%)
• Not Eligible/CATEX	=	68 (46%)
• New INPRs	=	2 (1%)
<u>TOTAL PROJECTS</u>	=	38 (11%)
–BD/DR	=	1 (3%)
–CON/HTRW	=	5 (14%)
–MMRP	=	29 (76%)
–PRP/HTRW	=	1 (3%)
–HTRW	=	2 (5%)



Los Angeles District





San Diego County MMRP Projects


Project Status by RAC	
- RAC 1 =	7
- RAC 2 =	3
- RAC 3 =	6
- RAC 4 =	3
- RAC 5 =	9

Total	28

• Project Status by RAC

- RAC 1 = 7
 - » J09CA006701 Camp Elliot-Tierra Santa (LTM)
 - » J09CA006702 Camp Elliot-Mission Trails (LTM)
 - » J09CA006703 Camp Elliot-East Elliot (EE/CAs Sector 2, Sector 4 RA, Sectors 1 and 3 RA pending schedule)
 - » J09CA006704 Camp Elliot-Area G,H,&D (EE/CA started May 16, 2005)
 - » J09CA111001 UCSD (Camp Matthews) (PA/SI/Constr Support active)
 - » J09CA707802 Camp Lockett Target Pit (SI start in May 2005)
 - » J09CA724201 Camp Vista-Green Oak Ranch (SI start in May 2005)

- RAC 2 = 3
 - » J09CA701101 Borrego Springs-(RI/FS start hopefully in June 2005)
 - » J09CA704401 Border Field State Park (ASR)
 - » J09CA723601 Linda Vista Practice Bombing Area (ASR)




Los Angeles District





IMPERIAL COUNTY FUDS PROPERTY

• TOTAL SITE	=	65	13% of California
– Eligible SITE	=	43	9% of California
• Sites w/projects	=	38 (58%)	
– Total Projects	=	46	
» MMRP	=	41	
» CON/HTRW	=	5	
• NDAI	=	5 (8%)	
• Not Eligible/CATEX	=	22 (34%)	
– Active DOD Site	=	12	
– Duplicate/No Information	=	10	



Los Angeles District





Risk Assessment Code (RAC)

RAC 1 - 4

Action is required to mitigate the Munitions and Explosives of Concern (MEC) hazard or protect personnel (i.e. fencing, physical barrier, guards, etc). Rankings 1-4 with 1 as highest priority, indicate the relative priority for cleanup among thousands of sites. It evaluates and scores the severity of a munitions (from catastrophic to negligible) and the probability (from frequent to improbable) of an MEC encounter.

RAC 5


Usually indicates no DOD action required.

TABLE 3

PROBABILITY LEVEL	FREQUENT A	PROBABLE B	OCCASIONAL C	REMOTE D	IMPROBABLE E
SEVERITY CATEGORY:					
CATASTROPHIC I	1	1	2	3	4
CRITICAL II	1	2	3	4	5
MARGINABLE III	2	3	4	4	5
NEGLECTIBLE IV	3	4	4	5	5

RISK ASSESSMENT CODE (RAC)

RAC 1	Expedite INPR, recommending further action by USAESCH-Immediately call USAESCH-OE-S (comm 256-895-1582/1598).
RAC 2	High priority on completion of INPR-Recommend further action by USAESCH.
RAC 3	Complete INPR-Recommend further action by USAESCH.
RAC 4	Complete INPR-Recommend further action by USAESCH.
RAC 5	Usually indicates that No DOD Action Indicated (NDAI) is necessary, Submit NDAI and RAC to USAESCH.



Los Angeles District





Munitions Response Site Prioritization Protocol

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Office of the Secretary

32 CFR Part 179

Munitions Response Site Prioritization Protocol


AGENCY: Department of Defense.

ACTION: Final rule.

§179.7 Sequencing.

(a) *Sequencing considerations.* The sequencing of MRSs for action shall be based primarily on the MRS priority determined through applying the rule in this part. Generally, an MRS that presents a greater relative risk to human health, safety, or the environment will be addressed before an MRS that presents a lesser relative risk. Other factors, however, may warrant consideration when determining the sequencing for specific MRSs. In evaluating other factors in sequencing decisions, the Department will consider a broad range of issues. These other, or risk-plus factors, do not influence or change the MRS priority, but may influence the sequencing for action. Examples of factors that the Department may consider are:

Explosive Hazard		Chemical Warfare Material		Munitions Constituents	
Table 25 MRS Priority Based on Highest Hazard Evaluation Module Rating					
EHE Module Rating	Priority	CHE Module Rating		HHE Module Rating	Priority
		Hazard Evaluation A (Highest)	Priority		
Hazard Evaluation A (Highest)	2	Hazard Evaluation B	2	Hazard Evaluation A (Highest)	2
Hazard Evaluation B	3	Hazard Evaluation C	3	Hazard Evaluation B	3
Hazard Evaluation C	4	Hazard Evaluation D	4	Hazard Evaluation C	4
Hazard Evaluation D	5	Hazard Evaluation E	5	Hazard Evaluation D	5
Hazard Evaluation E	6	Hazard Evaluation F	6	Hazard Evaluation E	6
Hazard Evaluation F	7	Hazard Evaluation G (Lowest)	7	Hazard Evaluation F	7
Hazard Evaluation G (Lowest)	8			Hazard Evaluation G (Lowest) Low	8
Evaluation Pending		Evaluation Pending		Evaluation Pending	
No Longer Required		No Longer Required		No Longer Required	
No Known or Suspected Explosive Hazard		No Known or Suspected CWM Hazard		No Known or Suspected MC Hazard	




Los Angeles District






FUDS CERCLA (Superfund/NCP) Implementation Strategy



Environmental Regulation No. 200-3-1, May 2004
FUDS Program Policy



Los Angeles District





Let's Start With The End In Mind

Borrego Maneuver Area

PP/DD/RD/RA

Proposed Plan

- Description of the Proposed Remedial Alternative intended for public review

Remedial Design


- Detailed designs, plans, specifications for remedial action, requires approved Explosive Safety Submittal

Decision Document

- 'Legal' description of the selected remedial alternative/goals/strategy
- Rationale for selection

Remedial Action

- Construction and implementation phase for the selected remedial alternative



Los Angeles District





Borrego Maneuver Area RI/FS

End Game Scenarios


– Permanent Solution

The RI/FS will:

- In the end, construct a Conceptual Site Model (CSM), validated through investigation
- Compare the CSM against remedial objectives and select alternatives

Remedial Alternatives:

- Do Nothing Alternative
 - Current Situation
- Institutional Controls
 - Signs, Training, Education, Deed Notifications
- Construction Support
 - Camp Matthews, supporting UCSD project
- Surface Removal
- Subsurface Removal
- Any combination








Camp Elliott

-Sector 2 Subsurface removal

~25 former military munitions consisting of 75 mm artillery and 37 mm mortar projectiles found and destroyed



~\$45,000,000 Cost to Complete



Los Angeles District






Questions - USACE FUDS Program

Larry Sievers

FUDS Program Manager

(213) 452-3990

larry.a.sievers@usace.army.mil




Los Angeles District





Acronyms




Los Angeles District





ACRONYMS

- ARAR – Applicable or Relevant and Appropriate Requirements
- ASR – Archive Search Reports FUDS – Formerly Used Defense Sites
- AP-T – Armor Piercing with Tracer
- CSM – Conceptual Site Model
- DD – Decision Document
- DoD – Department of Defense
- DQO – Data Quality Objective




Los Angeles District





ACRONYMS

- HE – High Explosives
- LTM – Long Term Monitoring
- MC – Munitions Constituent
- MEC – Munitions and Explosives of Concern
- MM – Millimeter
- MRA – Munitions Response Area
- MRS – Munitions Response Site
- PA – Preliminary Assessment
- RA – Remedial Action
- RD – Remedial/Removal Design




Los Angeles District





ACRONYMS

- RI/FS – Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study
- ROE – Right of Entry
- SI – Site Inspection
- TPP – Technical Project Planning
- UXO – Unexploded Ordnance




Los Angeles District





Technical Project Planning (TPP)




Los Angeles District





What is Technical Project Planning (TPP)?

- Systematic process that involves four phases of planning activities
- Process for developing the project team and getting stakeholders/regulators involved in the project upfront





Los Angeles District





TPP Process Flowchart




Los Angeles District





Phase I - Identify Current Project

- Brings together decision makers and technical personnel to identify the current project, identify TPP Team goals and document short and long term objectives
- Preparation of team information package, determine overall site approach, and identify project focus
- Begin the formal planning process




Los Angeles District





Phase II – Determine Data Needs

- Designed to ensure all data needed to satisfy the site objectives are identified
- Based on professional experience, input from all technical personnel, stakeholders, and regulators
- Evaluate the usability of existing data and define data needs
- Determine Data Collection Approaches




Los Angeles District





Phase III – Develop Data Collection Options

- Designed for planning sampling analysis approaches that will satisfy data needs based on Phases I and II
- Takes into consideration the following:
 - Physical access constraints
 - Right of Entry (ROE) constraints
 - Regulatory and stakeholder input




Los Angeles District





Phase IV – Finalize Data Collection Program

- Development of final Data Quality Objectives
- Finalize work plans
- Develop cost estimates for field data collection




Los Angeles District





TPP Summary

- Designed to be an iterative planning process that engages the USACE, stakeholders and regulators into the project decision making process
- Dynamic process, not a rigid step by step process; some elements of each phase may be completed out of sequence depending on input into the process




Los Angeles District





Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study (RI/FS)




Los Angeles District






CERCLA Process



PA and SI completed during the initial inventory and prioritization of sites and data collection to develop Archive Search Reports (ASR's)



Los Angeles District






RI/FS Purpose

Formerly Used Defense Sites (FUDS) ER 200-3-1

- RI intended to “adequately characterize the site for purpose of developing and evaluating effective remedial alternatives”
- “RI provides information to assess the **risks** to human health, safety, and environment that will be identified during risk screening in the RI/FS”




Los Angeles District





FS Objective

- “...ensure appropriate remedial alternatives are developed and evaluated...and an appropriate alternative is selected”
- The RI “should focus on collecting information to support the FS, so decisions on the appropriate remedial alternative can be made”




Los Angeles District





Further RI/FS Guidance

- **Objective-oriented** studies (rather than procedure-and process-driven procedures) can lead to timely and appropriate decisions
- **Innovative** technologies should be considered and evaluated as remedial alternatives




Los Angeles District





RI/FS Approach

- The RI/FS approach is a dynamic, flexible process
- Tailored to specific circumstances of individual sites
- Promotes involvement by stakeholders and regulators




Los Angeles District





RI Process

- Field investigation to characterize sites
 - Geology, ownership, vegetation, topography, special environmental considerations, cultural resources, biological resources, land use, and other data studied and documented
 - Surface and subsurface MEC/MC surveys and sampling
 - Laboratory analysis, data evaluation, data management performed
 - RI Report with findings




Los Angeles District





FS Process

- Development and screening of Remedial Alternatives (Examples)
 - No Action
 - Surface Clearance
 - Removal of MEC to Depth of Detection
 - Excavation of screening of soils
 - Institutional Controls
 - Combination of any above
- Detailed analysis of Remedial Action Alternatives with the RI data
- Development of a FS report




Los Angeles District





Initial RI/FS Activities

- Collect and analyze existing data
- Identify initial project/operable units (MRA's)
- Identify endangered/protected species/habitat
- Initiate FED/State ARAR identification
- Developing and Refining CSM and DQOs
- Prepare Project plans
- Collect RI field data




Los Angeles District





History





Los Angeles District





Borrego Maneuver Area

- BMA land was obtained by the Department the Army from the State of California by use permit around 1942.
- The Borrego Maneuver Area was active from 1942 until 1944, with the primary documented user being the Army Anti-Aircraft Training Center (AARTC) at Camp Callan, California, from 1943 to 1944.
- The Borrego Maneuver Area was declared excess to the needs of the ARTC and returned to the State of California in 1944.





Los Angeles District





Desert Training Center

- General Patton created and commanded the largest training area ever in the USA, the Desert Training Center (DTC).
- The DTC was an 18,000 square-mile Army training ground used from 1942-1944.
- The DTC was also called the California-Arizona Maneuvers Area (CAMA).
- The DTC was initially established to train troops in desert survival and warfare, in anticipation of a battle with General Rommel's Afrika Corps.
- During its brief history, over a million US troops trained for combat here.
- Tanks were a primary fighting tool used at the DTC, and tank tracks still mark much of the landscape.




Los Angeles District





Clark's Dry Lake/Military Wash

- Replacement pilot and crew training in bombing, gunnery, and rocketry was performed at the Naval Clark's Dry Lake and the Borrego (Military) Wash target area's.




Los Angeles District






Camp Ensign

- The Marines established a Camp at the Ensign Ranch in Borrego Springs where trainees from San Diego billeted, known as Camp Ensign.
- Groups of Marine trainees rotated through the camp for periods of about a week during which they gained proficiency in driving military trucks at night.




Los Angeles District





Conceptual Site Model (CSM)




Los Angeles District





BMA Conceptual Site Model (CSM)

- The CSM will be an iterative model updated as new information is collected
- CSM will include information such as:
 - MRA's/MRS's
 - MEC suspected/identified
 - MC suspected/identified
 - Geology, topography, climate, biological resources, cultural resources, current/future land use, population, etc...



Los Angeles District






Borrego Maneuver Area

Current Sites:

- J09CA701101 Mechanized Artillery Impact Range (A1) and Range Buffer (A2)
- J09CA701102 Camp Ensign
- J09CA701103 Clark's Dry Lake (E1 / E2 North)
- J09CA701104 Target Area and Emergency Landing Field
- J09CA701105 Military Wash (E1 / E2)
- J09CA701106 Benson/Ocotillo Dry Lake Practice Bomb Target

**

Other Sites as Identified



Los Angeles District







J09CA701101

Mechanized Artillery Impact Range (A1) and Range Buffer (A2)

Area = 25,937 acres

- A1 = 2,085 ac
- A2 = 23,852 ac
- Area Type = MEC
- Past DoD Activities = MK II 40mm HE and 90mm HE projectiles
- MEC Related Items Found Since Closure = MKII 40mm HE Projectile (Impact Area only)
- Post-DoD Land Use and Current Land Use = State Park




Los Angeles District





J09CA701102 Camp Ensign

- Area = 1,920 acres
- Area Type = Troop Billeting Area
- Past DoD Activities = No documented MEC activity
- MEC Related Items Found Since Closure = None Recorded
- Post-DoD Land Use and Current Land Use = Rural Residential



Los Angeles District






J09CA701103

Clark's Dry Lake (E1 / E2 North)



- Area = 5,580 acres
 - E1 North = 1,093 acres
 - E2 North = 4,487 acres
- Area Type = MEC
- Past DoD Activities = Small Arms - .50 cal Projectiles - 20mm AP, 40mm HE, 90mm HE; Rockets - 2.25" Practice, 5" HE; Bombs - 3 lbs/100 lbs Practice, 100 lbs Photoflash
- MEC Related Items Found Since Closure = Small Arms - .50 cal, Projectiles - 20mm AP, 40mm HE, 90mm HE, Rockets - 2.25" Practice, 5" HE, Bombs - 3 lbs/100 lbs Practice, 100 lbs Photoflash (E1 area only)
- Post-DoD Land Use and Current Land Use = Desert State Park




Los Angeles District






J09CA701104

Target Area and Emergency Landing Field



- Area = 222 acres
- Area Type = MEC
- Past DoD Activities = Small Arms - .30 / .50 cal; Projectiles - 20mm AP; Bombs – 3 / 4.5 / 100 lbs, Practice; 100 lbs Photoflash
- MEC Related Items Found Since Closure = Small Arms - .30 cal; Projectiles - 20mm AP; Bombs – 3 / 4.5 / 100 lbs Practice, 100 lbs Photoflash (Debris only)
- Post-DoD Land Use and Current Land Use = Desert Open Land




Los Angeles District





J09CA701105 Military Wash (E1 / E2)

- Area = 7,317 acres
 - E1 South = 2,019
 - E2 South = 5,298
- Area Type = MEC
- Past DoD Activities = Small Arms - .50 cal; Projectiles - 20mm AP, 40mm HE, 90mm HE; Rockets - 2.25" Practice, 5" HE; Bombs - 3 lbs/100 lbs Practice, 100 lbs Photoflash
- MEC Related Items Found Since Closure = Small Arms - .50 cal; Projectiles - 20mm AP, 40mm HE, 90mm HE; Rockets - 2.25" Practice, 5" HE; Bombs - 3 lbs/100 lbs Practice, 100 lbs Photoflash (E1 area only)
- Post-DoD Land Use and Current Land Use = Desert State Park



Los Angeles District







J09CA701106

Benson/Ocotillo Dry Lake Practice Bomb Target

- Area = 353 acres
- Area Type = MEC
- Past DoD Activities = Small Arms - .50 cal; Bombs – 3 / 4.5 / 100 lbs Practice, 100 lbs Photoflash (no evidence of high explosive munitions used)
- MEC Related Items Found Since Closure = Small Arms - .50 cal; Bombs – 3 / 4.5 / 100 lbs Practice, 100 lbs Photoflash (no evidence of high explosive munitions used)
- Post-DoD Land Use and Current Land Use = Municipal Airport




Los Angeles District





Other Sites

- J09CA016700 Winona BT 1 #90
- J09CA016800 Winona BT 2 #91
- Rocketville
- Bombville
- ??
- ??




Los Angeles District





Munitions Constituents (MC) Summary List

- Possible Explosive/Incendiary/Propellant studied (not inclusive)
 - Smokeless Powder
 - Red Phosphorus
 - Flash Powder
 - Black Powder
 - TNT
 - Tracer Composition
 - Composition B
 - Ballistite
 - Double Base Powder
 - Pyrotechnic Mixture
 - E.C. Blank Powder




Los Angeles District





Munitions Constituents (MC) Summary List

- Possible Metals/others studied (not inclusive)
 - Antimony
 - Arsenic
 - Chromium
 - Copper
 - Lead
 - Magnesium
 - Thallium
 - Zinc
 - Perchlorate




Los Angeles District





Munitions of BMA

As listed in the Archive Search Reports (ASR)




Los Angeles District





BMA Munitions Inventory

- Bomb, 3 to 4.5-LB Practice
- Bomb, 100-LB Photoflash, AN-M46
- Bomb, 100-LB Practice, MK15 MOD 3
- Bomb, 100-LB Practice, MK15 MOD 4
- Bomb, 100-LB Practice, M38A2
- Projectile, 20MM AP
- Projectile, 40MM HE
- Projectile, 90MM HE
- Rocket, 5-Inch HE
- Rocket, 3.25-Inch Practice Target
- Rocket, 2.25-Inch Practice Target
- Small Arms Ammunition, .30/.50 Caliber
- Others will be added if identified in RI/FS






Los Angeles District





Bomb, 3 to 4.5-LB Practice

- Zinc or Cast Iron Construction
- AN-MK5 or MK23
- Contains a AN-MK4 smokeless powder/red phosphorus spotting signal
- Possible trace Perchlorate source





Los Angeles District





Bomb, 100-LB Photoflash, AN-M46

- Contains 25 Lbs Flash Powder
- Possible Perchlorate source





Los Angeles District





Bomb, 100-LB Practice, MK 15 MOD 3

- Sand/Water filled practice bomb
- Contains a 1 Lb Black Powder Spotting Charge
- No known Perchlorate sources




Los Angeles District





Bomb, 100-LB Practice, MK 15 MOD 4

- Sand/Water filled practice bomb
- Contains a smokeless powder/red phosphorus spotting signal
- Possible trace Perchlorate source




Los Angeles District





Bomb, 100-LB Practice, M38A2

- Contains a 3-lb Black Powder spotting charge
- No known Perchlorate sources




Los Angeles District





Projectile, 20MM, AP-T

- Fired by A/C at ground targets
- AP does not contain explosives
- Contains tracer composition
- Possible Perchlorate source (trace amounts)





Los Angeles District





Projectile, 40MM HEI-T, MKII

- Contains 88 grams of TNT
- Self Destruct Tracer with 2 grams Black Powder
- Tracer may be a trace Perchlorate source




Los Angeles District





Projectile, 90MM HE, M71

- Contains 975 grams of Composition B explosives
- No tracer
- No known Perchlorate sources




Los Angeles District





Rocket, 5-Inch HE

- Used against enemy troops, Bivouac areas and light armor
- Contains 8.6 Lbs TNT in warhead, 8.5 LBS Ballistite in motor
- May have Perchlorate properties in Ballistite





Los Angeles District





Rocket, 3.25-Inch Practice Target

- Used for target practice at night by surface troops with automatic weapons
- Contains 3.2 Lbs Double Base Powder and a flare with a pyrotechnic mixture Flare
- No HE Warhead
- May have Perchlorate properties




Los Angeles District





Rocket, 2.25-Inch Practice, Target. (SCAR)

- Contains 1.75 Lbs Ballistite and a tracer composition
- May be perchlorate source




Los Angeles District





Small Arms Ammunition

- Includes .30 and .50 caliber
- Contains Lead Antimony on some versions
- Contains tracer composition on some versions
- May have trace Perchlorate from double base powder and tracers



Los Angeles District






Safety Procedures for Unexploded Ordnance

Safety Precautions

- Do not touch
- Consider all suspect munitions parts dangerous
- Minimum amount of time near suspected items
- Never attempt to move or uncover
- Never use a cell phone or radio within 50 feet
- Never assume color code is accurate



Los Angeles District






Safety Procedures for Unexploded Ordnance

Report the item, be prepared to provide:

- General description length, width, color
- If the location is amenable to a blow-in-place, for example, is it near a structure or open space
- Take a digital photo to e-mail to responding agency
- Be prepared to take responders back to the location
- With highly visible flagging
- Get coordinates if possible, hand held GPS
- If in area frequented by visitors, close area or leave guard to eliminate chance encounters



Los Angeles District

